Whatever their other talents and virtues, the people on the right personify Hollywood’s blind spot when it comes to on-screen smoking and the havoc it causes worldwide. Individual directors, producers, and studio chiefs may find it easy to explain or excuse smoking scenes in their own productions. But a survey of Hollywood’s 2002 output shows why global health authorities have concluded that, like the haunting video in The Ring, these films will keep killing for decades to come.

On-screen smoking moves adolescents to smoke. Controlling for every other variable—including smoking friends and family members—the more smoking incidents kids see in movies and videos, the more likely they are to start smoking.

This is fact, not conjecture. The scientific evidence is endorsed by the World Health Organization, American Medical Association, L.A. County’s own Department of Health Services, and others. All want the film industry to stop promoting tobacco.

Why hasn’t the industry adopted responsible, voluntary solutions?

Perhaps it’s because Big Tobacco’s Hollywood connection goes back as far as the movies. Glamour shots on-screen and paid endorsements off-screen began early. They contributed to a 500% increase in smoking among U.S. women between the 1920s, when the original Chicago was filmed by the DeMille organization, and the early 1940s, when Ginger Rogers reprised the role of Roxie Hart.

That generation of actors paid an agonizing price for the promotional collaborations between studios and tobacco companies.

So have their audiences. Today, tobacco kills more Americans than violent crime, car crashes, illegal drugs, alcohol, suicide, and AIDS combined.

No wonder tobacco ads have been legally barred from the nation’s airwaves since 1975. And that paid product placement by tobacco companies is explicitly prohibited by the 1998 Master Settlement Agreement between Big Tobacco and forty-six state attorneys general.

Yet smoking in the movies has doubled since 1990, when tobacco firms supposedly gave up paying for placement. Smoking’s screen-time in PG13 films has increased 50% since the Master Settlement Agreement.

What gives? If studio heads are giving free plugs to a much richer industry, they’re stupid. If they’re getting anything back for promoting smoking, from in-kind gifts to off-shore financing, they’re corrupt.

One thing’s for sure. They can’t be doing it out of ignorance.

Not anymore.

OVER TWO-THIRDS OF YOUTH-RATED MOVIES (PG OR PG13) FEATURED SMOKING IN 2002.

The eight “majors” released more youth-rated smoking films than R-rated ones. Three media giants—Disney, AOL, Time Warner, and Sony—made more than half of all smoking films.

2002 movie survey at SmokeFreeMovies.ucsf.edu

Smoke Free Movies aims to sharply reduce the film industry’s usefulness to Big Tobacco’s domestic and global marketing—a leading cause of disability and premature death. This initiative by Stanton Glantz, PhD (coauthor of The Cigarette Papers and Tobacco War) of the UCSF School of Medicine is supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the Richard and Rhoda Goldman Fund. To learn how you can help, visit our website or write to us: Smoke Free Movies, UCSF School of Medicine, Box 1590, San Francisco, CA 94143-1590. *All data: 2002 U.S. live-action features grossing over $500,000.